



Using Partial Budgeting to Analyze Drought Management Strategies

 N_{otes} ...

Quick Notes...

To minimize financial hardship under drought conditions, partial budgeting is a tool that can be used to compare different management strategies.

It is important to develop your own numbers for the drought strategies you are considering. Everyone's situation is unique and should be evaluated individually.

As drought conditions continue, increasing numbers of livestock producers will be faced with some critical decisions relating to their breeding herds. Poor forage conditions and shortened grazing seasons will force producers to analyze different alternatives to cope with drought impacts.

Partial Budgeting

A partial budget is a valuable management tool used to analyze an incremental change in a given enterprise. By employing budget principles, a manager can compare the economic impact (costs and returns) of alternative drought plans.

Partial budgeting is based on the principle that changes made in the farm or ranch business due to drought conditions will have one or more of the following effects:

- 1) eliminate or reduce some costs;
- 2) eliminate or reduce some returns;
- 3) increase some costs;
- 4) increase some returns.

The net effect will be the sum of positive economic effects minus the sum of negative economic effects.

Drought Example Analysis

This article is designed to help livestock producers analyze or compare different drought management strategies. To demonstrate the process, four possible strategies are compared. These represent only four of many possible drought management strategies to consider.

- 1. Transport livestock (cow pairs) to another source of forage.
- 2. Drylot livestock (both cows and calves).
- 3. Liquidate livestock and buy back in 1997.
- 4. Wean early, drylot calves, sell cows, buy back cow/calf pairs in 1997.

Worksheet 1 shows the basic format of a typical partial budget. The positive impacts (reduced costs and additional returns) are listed on the top of the budget. The negative impacts (additional costs and reduced returns) are listed on the lower part of the budget.

Colorado State University, U.S. Department of Agriculture and Colorado counties cooperating. Extension programs are available to all without discrimination. No endorsement of products is intended nor is criticism of products mentioned.

Worksheet 1: Partial Budget Format

Proposed Change:		
Assumptions:	 	
Key Factors:		
Positive Impacts: Reduced Costs	 	
Total Reduced Costs	 (A)	\$
Additional Returns		
Total Additional Returns	(B)	\$
Total Positive Impacts (A + B)	(C)	\$
Negative Impacts: Additional Costs		
Total Additional Costs	 (D)	\$
Reduced Returns		
Total Reduced Returns	(E)	\$
Total Negative Impacts (D + E)	(F)	\$

TOTAL NET EFFECT (C - F) = \$	
-------------------------------	--

The following analysis is used to demonstrate how partial budgeting can be used to compare the four different drought management strategies. The results of the analysis are listed in Worksheet 2. See the appendices for detailed budgets. The strategies can be ranked according to the net effect. Pay careful attention to the assumptions made in the analysis. Different assumptions can change the results substantially.

The least costly strategy, based on the assumptions, is Strategy 3. The cost of this plan would be approximately \$9,507 or \$38.03 per cow. Second in comparison was Strategy 4 with an expected cost of \$15,458 or \$61.83 per cow. Retaining ownership appears to be much more costly due to the high cost of feed and low market prices. Strategy 1 (transport cow/calf pairs to grass) has an expected loss of \$21,311 or \$85.24 per cow and Strategy 2 (drylot both cows and calves) has an expected loss of \$31,050 or \$124.20 per cow.

Certainly there are other biological, genetic, and related issues that must be considered. The availability of cow/calf pairs adapted to your environment is another important concern, particularly ranches located at higher elevations. Current carryover hay and forage inventories, availability of other crops for feed, and uncertainty about market conditions in the coming year, may play an important role in the final decision.

Strategy	1 Transport to <u>Grass</u>	2 Drylot <u>Pairs</u>	3 Liquidate & <u>Buy Back</u>	4 Early Wean Sell Cows <u>Drylot Calves</u>
Positive Impacts: Reduced Costs	790	790	51,361	50,156
Additional Returns	0	0	164,830	125,080
Total Positive Impacts	\$790	\$790	\$216,191	\$175,236
Negative Impacts: Additional Costs	22,101	31,840	169,640	177,694
Reduced Returns	0	0	56,058	13,000
Total Negative Impacts	\$22,101	\$31,840	\$225,568	\$190,694
Net Effect Net Effect Per Cow	\$-21,311 \$-85.24	\$-31,050 \$-124.20	\$-9,507 \$-38.03	\$-15,458 \$-61.83
Net Lifett Fer Cow	φ-0J.24	φ-1∠ 4. ∠U	φ-30.05	φ-01.03

Worksheet 2: Partial Budget Drought Analysis Results

Notes	(For More Information) Contact: Rod Sharp, Ag. & Business Management, CSU Extension
Network	(970) 245-9149, <u>Rod.Sharp@colostate.edu</u>
	(Updated August 2008)

Appendix A

<u>Strategy 1 - Transport Cow/Calf Pairs to Grass</u>

Assumptions: 1.250	 cows with 45 replacement heifers Pull off of Federal grazing 2 months early Transport 500 miles one-way \$1.10 per loaded mile 30 pairs per load Pasture \$14/AUM for 3 months 30 day feed supply around Ranch 	
Key Factors:	 Transportation Costs (Distance) Pasture Rental Rate Normal year summer pasture cost 	
-	36 x 2 months x .9 x \$1.36 x 2 mo. sts	$= \begin{array}{c} & 680 \\ = & 110 \\ (A) & 790 \end{array}$
Additional Returns None Total Additional R	eturns	(B) \$ <u>0</u>
Total Positive Impac	ts (A+B)	(C) \$ 790
	8 Trucks x 1000 miles x \$1.10	= \$ 8,800
Pasture Rent	1 Truck x 1000 miles x \$1.10	= \$ 1,100
45 repl. heifers	/AUM x 3 months x .9 x \$14/AUM x 3 months osts	$= \$10,500 \\ = \$\underline{1,701} \\ (D) \$22,101$
Reduced Returns None		
	urns	(E) \$ <u>0</u>
Total Negative Impa	cts (D+E)	(F) \$22,101

TOTAL NET EFFECT (C-F) = \$-21,311 or \$-85.24 per cow

<u>Strategy 2 - Drylotting Cow/Calf Pairs</u>

Assumptions: 1.250	 cows with 45 replacement heifers Drylot cow/calf pairs for 3 months Hay 25 lbs. per day (\$80/ton) Barley 3 1/3 lbs. per day (.07/lb. or 3.36/bu.) 30 days clean-up around the Ranch 	
Key Factor(s):	 Feed Costs Normal year summer pasture costs 	
	66 x 2 months x \$1.36 x 2 months ats	= \$ 680 = \$ <u>110</u> (A) \$ 790
	eturns	(B) \$ <u>0</u> (C) \$ 790
Negative Impacts: Additional Costs Hay 25 lbs. x 90 day 20 lbs. x 90 day Barley 3 1/3 lbs. x 90 days	s x 250 pairs x \$.04/lb. s x 45 repl. x \$.04/lb. lays x 250 pairs x \$.07/lb. x 45 repl. x \$.07/lb. osts	$= $22,500 \\ = $3,240 \\ = $5,249 \\ = 851 \\ (D) $31,840$
Reduced Returns None Total Reduced Ret	urns	(E) \$ <u>0</u>
Total Negative Impac	cts (D+E)	(F) \$31,840

TOTAL NET EFFECT (C-F) = \$-31,050 or \$-124.20 per cow

Appendix C

Strategy 3 - Liquidate Cow/Calf Pairs in 1996 and Buy Back in 1	<u>1997</u>
Assumptions: 1. 250 cows with 45 replacement heifers	
2. Sell cow/calf pairs for \$550 per pair, 45 rep	ol. heifers for \$400
3. Buy back in 1997 for \$650 per pair	
4. Sales income draws interest at 8% for 9 mo	onths (Aug. '96 - April '97)
Key Factor(s) 1. Cow/calf pair sale price	
2. Cow/calf pair buy back price	
3. Availability of cow/calf pairs for buy back	
4. Interest income	
Positive Impacts:	
Reduced Costs	
Federal Grazing	
250 pairs x \$1.36 x 2 months	= \$ 680
45 heifers x .9 x \$1.36 x 2 months	= \$ 110
Winter Feeding	
Hay - 2.1 ton x 250 hd x \$75	= \$ 39,375
Grain3 cwt x 250 x \$13	= \$ 975
Salt/Min 30 lbs. x 250 x .15	=\$ 1,125
Other Variable Expenses	¢ 0.00 ¢
Labor, Fuel & Utilities - 48.51 x 9/12 x 250	= \$ <u>9,096</u>
Total Reduced Costs	(A) \$51,361
Additional Returns	
Cow/Calf Pairs - 250 hd x \$550	= \$137,500
Replacement heifers - 45 hd. x \$400	\$ 18,000
Interest Income - \$155,500 x 8% x 9/12 of a year	= \$_9,330
Total Additional Returns	(B) \$164,830
Total Positive Impacts (A+B)	(C) \$216,191
Negative Impacts	
Additional Costs	
Marketing Expenses: 3% of gross (.03 x \$155,500)	= \$ 4,665
Transportation: 9 trucks x 250 miles x \$1.10	= \$ 2,475
Buy Back: 250 cow/calf pairs x \$650.00	= <u>\$162,500</u>
Total Additional Costs.	(D) \$169,640
Paduaad Datuma	
Reduced Returns Steers: 104 hd x 450 lbs. x .62	= \$ 29,016
Heifers: 59 hd. x 425 lbs. x .56	= \$ 29,010 = \$ 14,042
Cull Cows: 38 hd. x \$0.31 x 1100 lbs.	= \$ 14,042 = \$ 13,000
Total Reduced Returns	(E) \$ 56,058
	(E) \$ 50,050
Total Negative Impacts (D+E)	(F) \$225,568

TOTAL NET EFFECT (C-F) = \$-9,507 or \$-38.03 per cow

Appendix D

<u>Strategy 4 - Early Weaning, Drylotting Calves, Selling Cows, Buying Back Cow-Calf Pairs</u> <u>in 1997</u>

Assumptions: 1. Sell cows and replacement heifers for \$480 2. Buy pairs back in 1997 for \$650 per pair 3. Sales income earn interest at 8% for 9 months	
Key Factor(s) 1. Cow sale price 2. Cow/calf pair buy back price 3. Availability of cow/calf pairs for buy back 4. Interest income 5. Feed costs (calves)	
Positive Impacts: Reduced Costs Federal Grazing Winter Feeding Other Variable Expenses (Labor, Fuel & Utilities) Total Reduced Costs	= \$ 790 = \$ 40,271 = \$ <u>9,096</u> (A) \$ 50,156
Additional Returns Cows - 250 hd x 400 Replacement heifers - 45 hd. x 400 Interest Income - 118,000 x 8% x 9/12 of a year = \$_ Total Additional Returns	= \$100,000 \$ 18,000 <u>7,080</u> (B) \$125,080
Total Positive Impacts (A+B)	(C) \$175,236
Negative Impacts: Additional Costs Marketing Expenses: 3% of gross (.03 x \$118,000) (Comm., Brand Insp., Health Inspection, etc.) Transportation: 8 trucks x 250 miles x \$1.10 Feeding Calves: Hay - 10 lbs. x 90 days x .0375 x 208 hd. Grain - 1 lb. x 90 days x .13 x 208 hd. Cow Purchase: 250 pairs x \$650 Total Additional Costs	= \$ 3,540 = \$ 2,200 = \$ 7,020 = \$ 2,434 = \$ <u>162,500</u> (D) \$177,694
Reduced Returns Cull Cows: 38 hd. x \$0.31 x 1100 lbs. Total Reduced Returns	= \$ <u>13,000</u> (E) \$13,000
Total Negative Impacts (D+E)	(F) \$190,694

TOTAL NET EFFECT (C-F) = \$-15,458 or \$-61.83/cow